


I – POPULATION

1.1 -DISTRICT- WISE AREA, POPULATION AND DENSITY-2011 CENSUS


District	Total Geographica area (Sq. Kms.)	Total Population	Percentage	Rank	Growth rate of Population (2001-2011)	Density Per Sq. Km.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	1167	381956	5.56	10	12.0	327
2. Chamba	6522	519080	7.56	7	12.6	80
3. Hamirpur	1118	454768	6.62	8	10.2	407
4. Kangra	5739	1510075	22.00	1	12.8	263
5. Kinnaur	6401	84121	1.23	11	7.4	13
6. Kullu	5503	437903	6.38	9	14.8	80
7. Lahaul-Spiti	13841	31564	0.46	12	-5.0	2
8. Mandi	3950	999777	14.57	2	10.9	253
9. Shimla	5131	814010	11.86	3	12.7	159
10. Sirmaur	2825	529855	7.72	5	15.5	188
11. Solan	1936	580320	8.45	4	15.9	300
12. Una	1540	521173	7.59	6	16.3	338
Himachal Pradesh	55673	6864602	100.00	-	12.9	123


Source: Census of India, 2011.


Geographical area according to Surveyor General



DISTRICT- WISE POPULATION 2011 CENSUS







I –POPULATION

1.2 - DISTRICT WISE SCHEDULED CASTES POPULATION AND PERCENTAGE PROPORTION TO H.P. - 2011 CENSUS

District	Scheduled Caste Population			Percentage		
	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	93678	5311	98989	5.83	4.33	5.72
2. Chamba	105635	6055	111690	6.58	4.93	6.46
3. Hamirpur	103541	5715	109256	6.44	4.66	6.32
4. Kangra	304802	14583	319385	18.97	11.88	18.47
5. Kinnaur	14750	-	14750	0.92	-	0.85
6. Kullu	115514	7145	122659	7.19	5.82	7.09
7. Lahaul-Spiti	2235	-	2235	0.14	-	0.13
8. Mandi	280580	13159	293739	17.46	10.72	16.99
9. Shimla	179235	36542	215777	11.16	29.78	12.48
10.Sirmaur	149719	11026	160745	9.32	8.99	9.30
11.Solan	148800	15736	164536	9.26	12.82	9.51
12 Una	108046	7445	115491	6.73	6.07	6.68
H.P.	1606535	122717	1729252	100.00	100.00	100.00

Source: Census of India-2011.

I –POPULATION

1.3- DISTRICT- WISE SCHEDULED TRIBES POPULATION AND PERCENTAGE PROPORTION TO H.P. -2011CENSUS

District	Scheduled Tribes Population			Percentage		
	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	10334	359	10693	2.76	2.03	2.73
2. Chamba	132907	2593	135500	35.50	14.62	34.55
3. Hamirpur	2801	243	3044	0.75	1.37	0.78
4. Kangra	80234	4330	84564	21.43	24.42	21.57
5. Kinnaur	48746	-	48746	13.02	-	12.43
6. Kullu	12463	4359	16822	3.33	24.58	4.29
7. Lahaul-Spiti	25707	-	25707	6.87	-	6.56
8. Mandi	12359	428	12787	3.30	2.41	3.26
9. Shimla	5399	3356	8755	1.44	18.92	2.23
10.Sirmaur	10915	347	11262	2.91	1.96	2.87
11.Solan	24075	1570	25645	6.43	8.85	6.54
12 Una	8452	149	8601	2.26	0.84	2.19
H.P.	374392	17734	392126	100.00	100.00	100.00

Source: Census of India-2011.

I -POPULATION

1.4-- DISTRICT WISE DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS-

2011 CENSUS

DISTRICT	Total workers (Main + Marginal)	Percent- age	Main workers	Percent -age	Marginal workers	Percent -age	Non- workers	Per. centage
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1. Bilaspur	205871	5.78	103406	5.01	102465	6.85	176085	5.33
2. Chamba	294035	8.26	119632	5.80	174403	11.65	225045	6.81
3. Hamirpur	241931	6.80	126153	6.12	115778	7.74	212837	6.44
4. Kangra	675170	18.97	313915	15.22	361255	24.13	834905	25.26
5. Kinnaur	56273	1.58	46782	2.27	9491	0.63	27848	0.84
6. Kullu	269084	7.56	193876	9.40	75208	5.02	168819	5.11
7 Lahaul Spiti	19295	0.54	15190	0.74	4105	0.28	12269	0.37
8 Mandi	572671	16.09	284154	13.78	288517	19.27	427106	12.92
9 Shimla	430926	12.11	311770	15.11	119156	7.96	383084	11.59
10. Shirmaur	280083	7.87	193906	9.40	86177	5.76	249772	7.56
11. Solan	298737	8.39	219235	10.63	79502	5.31	281583	8.52
12 Una	215346	6.05	134482	6.52	80864	5.40	305827	9.25
Himachal Pradesh	3559422	100.00	2062501	100.00	1496921	100.00	3305180	100.00

(Contd)

I – POPULATION

1.4-DISTRICT WISE DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS -2011CENSUS

DISTRICT	Culti- vators	Percent- age	Agricultural labourers	Percent- age	Workers in household industry	Percent- age	Other workers	Percent-age
1.	10.	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1. Bilaspur	127169	6.17	4138	2.36	2561	4.36	72003	5.70
2. Chamba	196665	9.54	9193	5.25	4521	7.70	83656	6.62
3. Hamirpur	147103	7.13	8824	5.04	3347	5.70	82657	6.54
4. Kangra	303007	14.70	54849	31.34	15662	26.67	301652	23.87
5. Kinnaur	32995	1.60	2537	1.45	944	1.61	19797	1.57
6. Kullu	197141	9.56	12372	7.07	2707	4.61	56864	4.50
7. Lahaul- Spiti	11227	0.55	572	0.33	167	0.28	7329	0.58
8. Mandi	387944	18.81	15822	9.04	7134	12.15	161771	12.80
9. Shimla	249786	12.11	26114	14.92	7967	13.57	147059	11.64
10.Sirmaur	182958	8.87	10730	6.13	5313	9.05	81082	6.42
11.Solan	141267	6.85	10283	5.87	4969	8.46	142218	11.25
12.Una	84800	4.11	19604	11.20	3427	5.84	107515	8.51
Himachal Pradesh	2062062	100.00	175038	100.00	58719	100.00	1263603	100.00

– Concl.

Source: Census of India, 2011.

I - POPULATION

1.5 – DISTRICT WISE LITERACY RATE -CENSUS 2001 AND 2011

District	Census 2001		Census 2011	
	Literacy Rate	Rank	Literacy Rate	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1. Bilaspur	77.8	5	84.6	4
2. Chamba	62.9	12	72.2	12
3. Hamirpur	82.5	1	88.2	1
4. Kangra	80.1	3	85.7	3
5. Kinnaur	75.2	8	80.0	8
6. Kullu	72.9	10	79.4	9
7. Lahaul-Spiti	73.1	9	76.8	11
8. Mandi	75.2	7	81.5	7
9. Shimla	79.1	4	83.6	6
10. Sirmaur	70.4	11	78.8	10
11. Solan	76.6	6	83.7	5
12. Una	80.4	2	86.5	2
Himachal Pradesh	76.5	-	82.8	-

Source: Census of India, 2001 & 2011.

I – POPULATION

1.6-DISTRICT WISE MALE WORK PARTICIPATION RATE 2001 & 2011 Census

District	Census 2001		Census 2011	
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1	2	3	4	5
Bilaspur	52.22	10	54.18	9
Chamba	53.87	7	54.00	10
Hamirpur	50.90	11	49.07	12
Kangra	50.73	12	59.80	6
Kinnaur	66.49	2	60.18	4
Kullu	60.30	4	55.31	8
Lahaul Spiti	68.35	1	55.78	7
Mandi	52.64	9	51.94	11
Shimla	57.52	5	60.02	5
Sirmaur	56.37	6	60.48	3
Solan	61.15	3	63.60	2
Una	52.90	8	65.76	1
H.P.	54.62	-	57.41	-

Source: Census of India, 2001&2011

I – POPULATION

1.7- DISTRICT WISE FEMALE WORK PARTICIPATION RATE 2001 & 2011 Census

District	Census 2001		Census 2011	
	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1	2	3	4	5
Bilaspur	45.56	7	45.82	4
Chamba	45.97	6	46.00	3
Hamirpur	48.74	4	50.93	1
Kangra	37.41	11	40.20	7
Kinnaur	54.67	2	39.82	9
Kullu	52.91	3	44.69	5
Lahaul Spiti	57.39	1	44.22	6
Mandi	48.19	5	48.06	2
Shimla	44.24	8	39.98	8
Sirmaur	41.35	10	39.52	10
Solan	42.63	9	36.40	11
Una	37.05	12	34.24	12
H.P.	43.67	-	42.59	-

Source: Census of India, 2001 & 2011.

I - POPULATION

1.8- DISTRICT WISE TOTAL POPULATION, FEMALE POPULATION AND ITS SHARE IN TOTAL POPULATION AND SEX RATIO 2011 Census

District	Total Population	Female Population	Percentage Share of Female Population	Sex Ratio	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Bilaspur	381956	189192	49.53	981	5
Chamba	519080	257760	49.66	986	4
Hamirpur	454768	237698	52.27	1095	1
Kangra	1510075	759484	50.29	1012	2
Kinnaur	84121	37872	45.02	819	12
Kullu	437903	212451	48.52	942	7
Lahaul Spiti	31564	14976	47.45	903	10
Mandi	999777	501712	50.18	1007	3
Shimla	814010	388971	47.78	915	9
Sirmaur	529855	253566	47.86	918	8
Solan	580320	271566	46.80	880	11
Una	521173	257481	49.40	976	6
H.P.	6864602	3382729	49.28	972	-

Source: Census of India- 2011.

I – POPULATION

1.9-- DISTRICT WISE NO OF OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL HOUSES-CENSUS -2011

District	No. of occupied residential houses	Percentage	Occupied residential houses per '000 persons	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1. Bilaspur	78805	5.44	206	10
2. Chamba	96907	6.69	187	11
3. Hamirpur	102839	7.10	226	2
4. Kangra	330407	22.81	219	4
5. Kinnaur	19409	1.34	231	1
6. Kullu	93978	6.49	215	6
7. Lahaul-Spiti	6611	0.46	209	7
8. Mandi	214889	14.84	215	5
9. Shimla	179140	12.37	220	3
10. Sirmaur	95687	6.61	181	12
11. Solan	121191	8.37	209	8
12. Una	108293	7.48	208	9
Himachal Pradesh	1448156	100.00	211	-

Source: Census of India, 2011.

I - POPULATION

1.10-- DISTRICT WISE RURAL AND URBAN HOUSEHOLDS AND THEIR PERCENTAGE- CENSUS- 2011

District	Total No. of households	Percent-age	Rural households (No.)	Percent-age	Urban households (No.)	Percent -age	Rural households as percent to total No. of households in the Distt.	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Bilaspur	80485	5.43	74712	5.69	5773	3.38	92.83	5
Chamba	102460	6.91	94596	7.21	7864	4.60	92.32	6
Hamirpur	105519	7.11	98115	7.48	7404	4.34	92.98	3
Kangra	338887	22.85	318379	24.26	20508	12.01	93.95	2
Kinnaur	19976	1.35	19976	1.52	-	-	100.00	1
Kullu	94807	6.39	84358	6.43	10449	6.12	88.98	8
Lahaul-Spiti	6674	0.45	6674	0.51	-	-	100.00	1
Mandi	219145	14.77	203747	15.52	15398	9.02	92.97	4
Shimla	184362	12.43	129854	9.89	54508	31.92	70.43	11
Sirmaur	98208	6.62	85087	6.48	13121	7.68	86.64	9
Solan	122425	8.25	96510	7.35	25915	15.17	78.83	10
Una	110332	7.44	100502	7.66	9830	5.76	91.09	7
Himachal Pradesh	1483280	100.00	1312510	100.00	170770	100.00	88.49	-

I - POPULATION

1.11-- DISTRICT / SEX WISE 0-6 YEARS POPULATION AND THEIR PERCENTAGE- CENSUS -2011

. District	Total population 0-6 Years			Percentage	Rank
	Male	Female	Total		
1	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1. Bilaspur	22084	19872	41956	5.39	10
2. Chamba	36024	34335	70359	9.05	4
3. Hamirpur	25722	22826	48548	6.24	9
4. Kangra	87741	76866	164607	21.16	1
5. Kinnaur	4200	4046	8246	1.06	11
6. Kullu	25707	24724	50431	6.48	8
7. Lahaul-Spiti	1537	1588	3125	0.40	12
8. Mandi	58486	53588	112074	14.41	2
9. Shimla	42376	39188	81564	10.49	3
10.Sirmaur	36107	33513	69620	8.95	5
11.Solan	35884	32253	68137	8.76	6
12.Una	31591	27640	59231	7.61	7
Himachal Pradesh	407459	370439	777898	100.00	-

Source: Census of India, 2011.

I - POPULATION

1.12- DISTRICT / SEX WISE RURAL-URBAN POPULATION CENSUS 2011

District	Population									Females per '000 males
	Rural			Urban			Total			
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1. Bilaspur	179653	177174	356827	13111	12018	25129	192764	189192	381956	981
2. Chamba	241963	241009	482972	19357	16751	36108	261320	257760	519080	986
3. Hamirpur	200748	222590	423338	16322	15108	31430	217070	237698	454768	1095
4. Kangra	705365	718429	1423794	45226	41055	86281	750591	759484	1510075	1012
5. Kinnaur	46249	37872	84121	0	0	0	46249	37872	84121	819
6. Kullu	203269	193243	396512	22183	19208	41391	225452	212451	437903	942
7. L&S	16588	14976	31564	0	0	0	16588	14976	31564	903
8. Mandi	466050	471090	937140	32015	30622	62637	498065	501712	999777	1007
9. Shimla	314295	298364	612659	110744	90607	201351	425039	388971	814010	915
10. Sirmaur	246175	226515	472690	30114	27051	57165	276289	253566	529855	918
11. Solan	249736	228437	478173	59018	43129	102147	308754	271566	580320	880
12. Una	240254	236006	476260	23438	21475	44913	263692	257481	521173	976
H.P.	3110345	3065705	6176050	371528	317024	688552	3481873	3382729	6864602	972

Source: Census of India, 2011.


II – AGRICULTURE

2.1 - DISTRICT WISE LAND UTILISATION FOR 2010-11

District	Geographical area according to Surveyor General (Sq. Kms.)	Percent-age	Geographical area according to village papers (Hects.)	Percent-age	Net area sown. (Hects.)	
					Area	Percentage
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	1167	2.10	111776	2.44	29187	5.37
2. Chamba	6522	11.71	692419	15.13	41643	7.66
3. Hamirpur	1118	2.01	110224	2.41	35295	6.50
4. Kangra	5739	10.31	577681	12.63	115748	21.30
5. Kinnaur	6401	11.50	624216	13.64	8310	1.53
6. Kullu	5503	9.88	64224	1.40	38485	7.08
7. L&S	13841	24.86	911206	19.91	3396	0.62
8. Mandi	3950	7.09	397948	8.70	88775	16.34
9. Shimla	5131	9.22	525386	11.48	65944	12.14
10.Sirmaur	2825	5.07	224760	4.91	40307	7.42
11.Solan	1936	3.48	180923	3.96	37746	6.95
12.Una	1540	2.77	154875	3.39	38529	7.09
H.P.	55673	100.00	4575638	100.00	543365	100.00

(Contd.)

Net Area Sown-2010-11



6

II – AGRICULTURE

2.1 – DISTRICT WISE LAND UTILISATION DATA FOR- 2010-11

District	Total Cropped area.(Hects.)		Percent of Total cropped area to net area sown	Rank
	Area	Percentage		
1.	8.	9	10.	11.
1. Bilaspur	56901	6.06	194.95	1
2. Chamba	67775	7.22	162.75	8
3. Hamirpur	67710	7.21	191.84	3
4 Kangra	213283	22.72	184.26	5
5. Kinnaur	10646	1.14	128.11	11
6. Kullu	59597	6.35	154.86	9
7. Lahaul-Spiti	3517	0.38	103.56	12
8. Mandi	160610	17.11	180.92	6
9 Shimla	86468	9.21	131.12	10
10.Sirmaur	75638	8.06	187.65	4
11.Solan	62455	6.65	165.46	7
12 Una	74025	7.89	192.13	2
Himachal Pradesh	938625	100.00	172.74	-

(Concl.)

Source:- Directorate of Land Records, H.P.

II – AGRICULTURE

2.2- – DISTRICT- WISE AREA UNDER CROPS-2012-13(P)

(Hectares)

District	Total Food-grains	Percent-age	Millets & Other Cereals	Percent-age	Pulses	Percent-age	Ginger	Percentage
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1. Bilaspur	49679	6.29	2	0.03	119	0.35	167	6.94
2. Chamba	61754	7.82	1151	15.34	4082	12.21	4	0.17
3. Hamirpur	76807	9.73	6	0.08	16	0.05	4	0.17
4 Kangra	191920	24.31	2242	29.88	2797	8.37	27	1.12
5. Kinnaur	3416	0.43	1013	13.50	1557	4.66	0	0.00
6. Kullu	44424	5.63	501	6.67	4299	12.87	0	0.00
7. Lahaul-Spiti	2084	0.26	0	0.00	1519	4.55	0	0.00
8. Mandi	147005	18.62	298	3.97	6185	18.51	115	4.78
9. Shimla	30957	3.92	2153	28.69	7153	21.41	214	8.89
10.Sirmaur	59752	7.57	138	1.84	3536	10.58	1513	62.89
11.Solan	52956	6.71	0	0.00	1960	5.87	361	15.00
12 Una	68772	8.71	0	0.00	192	0.57	1	0.04
Himachal Pradesh	789526	100.00	7504	100.00	33415	100.00	2406	100.00

Source: Directorate of Land Records, H.P.

II-AGRICULTURE


2.3- – DISTRICT WISE PRODUCTION OF CROPS- 2012-13(P)

(M.T)

District	Total Food-grains	Percent-age	Common millets	Percent-age	Pulses	Percent-age	Ginger	Percent-age
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1. Bilaspur	100198	6.49	1	0.02	90	0.19	1271	7.53
2. Chamba	151097	9.78	347	7.18	3966	8.20	28	0.17
3. Hamirpur	129786	8.40	3	0.06	8	0.02	28	0.17
4 Kangra	316899	20.51	1251	25.87	1482	3.07	189	1.12
5. Kinnaur	6060	0.39	492	10.18	3156	6.53	0	0.00
6. Kullu	101830	6.59	281	5.81	3607	7.46	0	0.00
7. Lahaul-Spiti	9336	0.60	0	0.00	8349	17.27	0	0.00
8. Mandi	282725	18.30	185	3.83	11063	22.89	806	4.78
9. Shimla	52489	3.40	2182	45.13	10944	22.64	2118	12.55
10.Sirmaur	132058	8.55	93	1.92	4116	8.52	9894	58.64
11.Solan	125288	8.11	0	0.00	1433	2.96	2531	15.00
12 Una	137141	8.88	0	0.00	122	0.25	7	0.04
Himachal Pradesh	1544903	100.00	4835	100.00	48336	100.00	16872	100.00

Source: - Directorate of Land Records, H.P.

PRODUCTION OF CROPS 2012-13(P)



II-AGRICULTURE

2.4- DISTRICT- WISE PRODUCTION OF VEGETABLES-2014-15

(M.T)

District	Potato	Percentage	Rank	Vegetables	Percentage	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	630	0.35	11	80067	5.08	7
2. Chamba	9340	5.15	6	56408	3.58	9
3. Hamirpur	500	0.28	12	58839	3.73	8
4 Kangra	14170	7.81	5	169556	10.76.	5
5. Kinnaur	6320	3.48	9	42486	2.70.	11
6. Kullu	17500	9.65	4	126671	8.03	6
7. Lahaul-Spiti	8280	4.57	8	54460	3.45	10
8. Mandi	18650	10.28	2	212551	13.48	3
9. Shimla	77570	42.77	1	231373	14.68	2
10. Sirmaur	18400	10.14	3	185705	11.78	4
11. Solan	1670	0.92	10	327537	20.78	1
12. Una	8350	4.60	7	30801	1.95	12
Himachal Pradesh	181380	100.00	-	1576454	100.00	-

Source: -Directorate of Agriculture, H.P.

II-AGRICULTURE

2.5- DISTRICT-WISE CONSUMPTION OF FERTILIZERS IN TERMS OF NUTRENTS - 2014-15

(M.T)

District	Kharif (N+P+K)	Percentage	Rabi (N+P+K)	Percentage	Total (N+P+K)	Percentage	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1. Bilaspur	1306	6.74	981	2.91	2287	4.31	9
2. Chamba	822	4.24	451	1.34	1273	2.40	10
3. Hamirpur	1681	8.67	787	2.34	2468	4.65	8
4 Kangra	3283	16.93	5939	17.64	9222	17.38	2
5. Kinnaur	60	0.31	185	0.55	245	0.46	12
6. Kullu	1102	5.68	3312	9.84	4414	8.32	5
7. Lahaul-Spiti	94	0.49	359	1.07	453	0.86	11
8. Mandi	2597	13.39	3405	10.11	6002	11.31	4
9. Shimla	1721	8.88	9279	27.56	11000	20.74	1
10. Sirmaur	2084	10.75	2141	6.36	4225	7.96	6
11. Solan	1840	9.49	1958	5.82	3798	7.16	7
12. Una	2798	14.43	4870	14.46	7668	14.45	3
Himachal Pradesh	19388	100.00	33667	100.00	53055	100.00	-

Source: -Directorate of Agriculture, H.P.

II – AGRICULTURE

2.6 - DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER AND AREA OF OPERATIONAL HOLDINGS -2010-11 Census

District	No. of operational holdings	Percentage	Area of operational holdings (Hects.)	Percentage	Average size of operational holdings (Hects.)
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1. Bilaspur	57422	5.98	50662	5.31	0.88
2. Chamba	70630	7.35	54908	5.75	0.78
3. Hamirpur	76140	7.93	73502	7.70	0.97
4. Kangra	235904	24.55	201855	21.15	0.86
5. Kinnaur	10757	1.12	14227	1.49	1.32
6. Kullu	74444	7.75	41643	4.36	0.56
7. Lahaul-Spiti	4274	0.44	6743	0.71	1.58
8. Mandi	154302	16.06	127051	13.31	0.82
9. Shimla	110005	11.45	117937	12.35	1.07
10. Sirmaur	50721	5.28	99221	10.39	1.96
11. Solan	53456	5.56	86619	9.07	1.62
12. Una	62710	6.53	80285	8.41	1.28
Himachal Pradesh	960765	100.00	954651	100.00	0.99

Source: Directorate of Agriculture Census, H.P.

III-HORTICULTURE


3.1- DISTRICT-WISE AREA UNDER FRUITS –2013-14

(In Hectrs.)

District	Apple	Percent- age	Citrus fruits	Percent- age	All Other fruits	Percent- age	Total fruits	Percent- age
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1. Bilaspur	5	0.01	1126	4.87	5855	6.51	6986	3.17
2. Chamba	12997	12.07	732	3.17	3820	4.25	17549	7.95
3. Hamirpur	4	0.00	1710	7.40	5162	5.74	6876	3.12
4 Kangra	393	0.36	9974	43.16	28262	31.43	38629	17.50
5. Kinnaur	10487	9.74	0	0.00	1794	2.00	12281	5.56
6. Kullu	25624	23.80	68	0.30	4232	4.71	29924	13.56
7. Lahaul-Spiti	1579	1.47	0	0.00	64	0.07	1643	0.74
8. Mandi	16077	14.93	4477	19.37	15252	16.96	35806	16.22
9. Shimla	37542	34.86	587	2.54	6178	6.87	44307	20.08
10. Sirmaur	2912	2.70	1697	7.34	10077	11.21	14686	6.65
11. Solan	65	0.06	879	3.80	5469	6.08	6413	2.91
12. Una	1	0.00	1860	8.05	3745	4.17	5606	2.54
Himachal Pradesh	107686	100.00	23110	100.00	89910	100.00	220706	100.00

Source: Horticulture Department, Himachal Pradesh.

AREA UNDER FRUIT 2013-14




III - HORTICULTURE

3.2- DISTRICT-WISE PRODUCTION OF FRUITS -2014-15

(M.T.)

District	Apple	Percent- age	Citrus fruits	Percent- age	All other fruits	Percent- age	Total fruits	Percent- age
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1. Bilaspur	1	0.00	225	1.01.	6230	5.96	6456	0.86
2. Chamba	26054	4.17	1535	6.92	1749	1.67	29338	3.90
3. Hamirpur	0	0.00	408	1.84	5617	5.37	6025	0.80
4 Kangra	309	0.05	12720	57.39	31137	29.78	44166	5.87
5. Kinnaur	59196	9.47	0	0.00	506	0.48	59702	7.94
6. Kullu	104589	16.73	8	0.03	18231	17.44	122828	16.34
7. Lahaul-Spiti	277	0.04	0	0.00	43	0.04	320	0.04
8. Mandi	24709	3.95	791	3.57	5264	5.03	30764	4.09
9. Shimla	407751	65.22	30	0.14	6859	6.56	414640	55.14
10.Sirmaur	2290	0.37	1863	8.41	9875	9.44	14028	1.87
11.Solan	23	0.00	795	3.59	9037	8.64	9855	1.31
12.Una	0	0.00	3790	17.10	10026	9.59	13816	1.84
Himachal Pradesh	625199	100.00	22165	100.00	104574	100.00	751938	100.00

Source: Horticulture Department, Himachal Pradesh.




IV - LIVESTOCK

4.1 – DISTRICT-WISE LIVESTOCK POPULATION-2012 AND VETERINARY AID –2014-15

District	Livestock population	No. of Veterinary Institutions	Livestock population served per Veterinary Institution	Rank	No. of animals treated in Veterinary Institutions ('000)	No. of animals treated per Veterinary Institution	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1. Bilaspur	210439	124	1697	8	138	1113	9
2. Chamba	814232	210	3877	1	338	1609	1
3. Hamirpur	170901	143	1195	11	167	1168	7
4 Kangra	805120	415	1940	6	550	1325	4
5. Kinnaur	125857	64	1966	5	60	937	11
6. Kullu	371734	111	3349	2	155	1396	3
7 Lahaul-Spiti	61578	60	1026	12	42	70	12
8. Mandi	827034	320	2584	4	402	1256	5
9. Shimla	496729	314	1582	9	303	965	10
10. Sirmaur	482272	161	2995	3	183	1137	8
11. Solan	289613	159	1821	7	248	1560	2
12. Una	188922	129	1464	10	157	1217	6
Himachal Pradesh	4844431	2210	2192	-	2743	1241	-

Source:- Animal Husbandry Department, H.P.



IV - LIVESTOCK

4.2 – DISTRICT-WISE ANNUAL MILK PRODUCTION FOR 2013-14 AND 2014-15

('000 Tonnes)

District	2013-14	Percent-age	Rank	2014-15	Percent-age	Rank	%age increase(+)or decrease (-)during 2014-15 over 2013-14
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1. Bilaspur	69.038	6.00	10	69.667	5.94	10	0.91
2. Chamba	79.691	6.92	8	80.623	6.88	8	1.17
3. Hamirpur	86.147	7.49	5	88.865	7.58	5	3.16
4 Kangra	221.765	19.27	1	226.419	19.32	1	2.10
5. Kinnaur	10.425	0.91	11	10.761	0.92	11	3.22
6. Kullu	80.063	6.96	7	82.822	7.06.	6	3.45
7 Lahaul-Spiti	7.395	0.64	12	7.603	0.65	12	2.81
8. Mandi	217.382	18.89	2	222.505	18.98	2	2.36
9. Shimla	126.930	11.03	3	129.075	11.01	3	1.69
10.Sirmaur	80.382	6.98	6	81.766	6.98	7	1.72
11.Solan	93.361	8.11	4	92.939	7.93	4	-0.45
12.Una	78.232	6.80	9	79.111	6.75	9	1.12
H.P.	1150.811	100.00	-	1172.156	100.00	-	1.85

Source:- Animal Husbandry Deptt., H.P.

IV - LIVESTOCK

4.3- DISTRICT-WISE ANNUAL WOOL PRODUCTION FOR 2013-14 AND 2014-15

('000 kgs.)

District	2013-14	Percent- age	Rank	2014-15	Percent- age	Rank	%age increase(+)or decrease (-)during 2014-15 over 2013-14
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1. Bilaspur	9.860	0.60	11	9.847	0.59	11	-0.13
2. Chamba	445.528	26.92	1	447.793	26.94	1	0.38
3. Hamirpur	53.757	3.27	8	54.033	3.25	8	-0.40
4 Kangra	227.526	13.73	4	234.519	14.11	2	3.07
5. Kinnaur	139.264	8.44	6	142.872	8.59	6	2.20
6. Kullu	233.665	14.10	2	226.760	13.64	4	-2.96
7 Lahaul-Spiti	70.297	4.24	7	70.761	4.26	7	0.66
8. Mandi	232.854	14.06	3	233.848	14.07	3	0.30
9. Shimla	193.424	11.70	5	190.702	11.47	5	-1.94
10.Sirmaur	34.663	2.09	9	36.802	2.21	9	6.17
11.Solan	11.979	0.72	10	12.242	0.74	10	2.20
12.Una	2.168	0.13	12	2.203	0.13	12	1.61
Himachal Pradesh	1654.985	100.00	-	1662.382	100.00	-	0.27

Source:- Animal Husbandry Deptt., H.P.

IV - LIVESTOCK

4.4- DISTRICT- WISE ANNUAL EGG PRODUCTION 2013-14 AND 2014-15

(In lakhs..)

District	2013-14	Percent- age	Rank	2014-15	Percent- age	Rank	%age increase(+)or decrease (-)during 2014-15 over 2013-14
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1. Bilaspur	162.249	15.09	3	166.267	15.33	3	2.48
2. Chamba	93.228	8.67	5	89.092	8.22	5	-4.44
3. Hamirpur	35.215	3.27	10	36.387	3.36.	10	3.33
4 Kangra	217.668	20.24	1	214.623	19.79	1	-1.40
5. Kinnaur	22.856	2.12	11	23.039	2.13	11	0.80
6. Kullu	44.587	4.15	9	45.053	4.16	9	1.05
7 Lahaul-Spiti	10.315	0.96	12	10.865	1.00	12	5.33
8. Mandi	81.438	7.57	6	81.890	7.55	6	0.56
9. Shimla	80.160	7.45	7	81.448	7.51	7	1.61
10.Sirmaur	46.064	4.28	8	46.517	4.29	8	0.98
11.Solan	108.902	10.13	4	112.459	10.37	4	3.27
12.Una	172.795	16.07	2	176.690	16.29	2	2.25
Himachal Pradesh	1075.477	100.00	-	1084.330	100.00	-	0.82

Source:- Animal Husbandry Deptt., H.P.

V – EDUCATION

5.1- DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND SCHOOLS PER LAKH OF POPULATION 2014-15

District	No. of Schools			Total number of Educational institutions	Schools per lakh of population
	Primary	Middle	High/ Sr. Sec.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1. Bilaspur	591	114	138	843	211
2. Chamba	1158	257	196	1611	296
3. Hamirpur	489	135	141	765	161
4. Kangra	1710	358	453	2521	159
5. Kinnaur	182	36	50	268	315
6. Kullu	754	127	123	1004	216
7. Lahaul-Spiti	202	36	35	273	853
8. Mandi	1738	351	372	2461	236
9. Shimla	1605	343	356	2304	269
10. Sirmaur	1017	197	200	1414	252
11. Solan	767	150	159	1076	175
12. Una	499	97	162	758	137
Himachal Pradesh	10712	2201	2385	15298	212

Source:- Education Department, Himachal Pradesh.

V – EDUCATION

5.2 – DISTRICT-WISE LITERACY (RURAL) -2011 Census

District	Total Rural literates	Rural Literacy Rate Persons	Rank	Rural Male literates	Rural Literacy Rate male	Rank	Rural Female literates	Rural Literacy Rate female	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
1. Bilaspur	266816	84.08	4	144487	90.93	4	122329	77.22	4
2. Chamba	293683	70.60	12	169271	81.51	12	124412	59.73	12
3. Hamirpur	331719	87.82	1	166483	94.29	1	165236	82.14	1
4. Kangra	1082442	85.42	3	568564	91.42	3	513878	79.64	3
5. Kinnaur	60699	80.00	8	36697	87.27	8	24002	70.96	8
6. Kullu	274741	78.47	9	156267	86.96	9	118474	69.52	9
7. Lahaul-Spiti	21845	76.81	11	12897	85.69	10	8948	66.84	11
8. Mandi	671588	80.84	6	366395	89.24	5	305193	72.64	7
9. Shimla	440712	80.45	7	246462	87.79	7	194250	72.74	6
10. Sirmaur	316436	77.31	10	180611	84.64	11	135825	69.33	10
11. Solan	346074	82.22	5	195211	88.85	6	150863	74.98	5
12. Una	364981	86.52	2	194476	92.08	2	170505	80.94	2
H.P.	4471736	81.85	-	2437821	89.05	-	2033915	74.62	-

Source: Census of India, 2011,

V-EDUCATION

5.3- DISTRICT WISE LITERACY (URBAN) -2011 Census

District	Total urban literates	Urban Literacy Rate Persons	Rank	Urban Male literates	Urban Literacy Rate male	Rank	Urban female literates	Urban Literacy Rate Female	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
1. Bilaspur	20804	91.75	4	11112	94.34	4	9692	88.96	4
2. Chamba	30159	92.05	3	16793	95.31	1	13366	88.25	5
3. Hamirpur	26372	92.51	2	14072	95.15	2	12300	89.66	2
4. Kangra	70198	89.70	8	37879	92.60	7	32319	86.52	8
5. Kinnaur*	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-
6. Kullu	32931	88.15	9	18283	91.18	9	14648	84.63	9
7. Lahaul-Spiti*	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-
8. Mandi	52159	91.56	5	27274	94.06	5	24885	88.98	3
9. Shimla	171930	93.10	1	96384	94.56	3	75546	91.30	1
10. Sirmaur	46209	90.73	6	25006	93.35	6	21203	87.82	6
11. Solan	82504	90.41	7	49161	92.47	8	33343	87.52	7
12. Una	34734	86.65	10	18805	89.94	10	15929	83.06	10
H.P.	568000	91.10	-	314769	93.42	-	253231	88.37	-

* No urban area

Source: Census of India, 2011.

V-EDUCATION

5.4- DISTRICT –WISE TOTAL LITERACY -2011 Census

District	Total population Excluding 0-6 Years	Total literates Parsons	Total Literates Rate	Total literates Male	literates Rate Male	Total literates Female	literates Rate Female
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1. Bilaspur	340000	287620	84.59	155599	91.16	132021	77.97
2. Chamba	448721	323842	72.17	186064	82.59	137778	61.67
3. Hamirpur	406220	358091	88.15	180555	94.36	177536	82.62
4. Kangra	1345468	1152640	85.67	606443	91.49	546197	80.02
5. Kinnaur	75875	60699	80.00	36697	87.27	24002	70.96
6. Kullu	387472	307672	79.40	174550	87.39	133122	70.91
7. Lahaul-Spiti	28439	21845	76.81	12897	85.69	8948	66.84
8. Mandi	887703	723747	81.53	393669	89.56	330078	73.66
9. Shimla	732446	612642	83.64	342846	89.59	269796	77.13
10. Sirmaur	460235	362645	78.80	205617	85.61	157028	71.36
11. Solan	512183	428578	83.68	244372	89.56	184206	76.97
12. Una	461942	399715	86.53	213281	91.89	186434	81.11
Himachal Pradesh	6086704	5039736	82.80	2752590	89.53	2287146	75.93

Source: Census of India, 2011.

VI-TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS


6.1- DISTRICT-WISE ROAD LENGTH AND POST OFFICES IN H.P. -2013-14

District	Total Road Length (Kms.)	Total Road Length per'00 (Sq. Km.)of area.	Motorable road length (Single lane & double lane)	Motorable road length per'00 (Sq. Km.)of area	No. of post offices	Post-offices per lakh of population
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	1668	142.50	1657	141.99	146	37
2. Chamba	3196	49.00	2318	35.54	222	41
3. Hamirpur	1855	165.92	1855	165.92	228	48
4. Kangra	5848	101.90	5843	101.81	648	41
5. Kinnaur	1016	15.87	782	12.22	72	85
6. Kullu	1805	32.80	1773	32.22	155	33
7. Lahaul-Spiti	1247	9.01	1235	8.92	46	144
8. Mandi	5535	140.13	5151	130.41	368	35
9. Shimla	5242	102.16	5235	102.03	356	42
10.Sirmaur	3007	106.44	2956	104.64	167	30
11.Solan	2876	148.55	2834	146.38	188	31
12 Una	1852	120.26	1852	120.26	186	34
H.P.	35142	63.12	33491	60.16	2782	38

Source:1. Public Works Department, H.P.

2. Chief Postmaster General, H.P. Circle, Shimla-9.

ROAD LENGTH 2013-14



VII- ECONOMIC CENSUS

7.1- DISTRICT-WISE TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS AND TOTAL PERSONS EMPLOYED: COMBINED ECONOMIC CENSUS-2012-13 (P)

District	Total No. of Establishments	No. of Person		Total	Total Handicraft/ Handloom Establishments
		Hired	Non-Hired		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1. Bilaspur	24567	26648	22762	49410	252
2. Chamba	20730	24589	18252	42841	536
3. Hamirpur	31212	28593	30056	58649	429
4. Kangra	105245	85055	105462	190517	4353
5. Kinnaur	6540	10902	7319	18221	1115
6. Kullu	33435	29116	35420	64536	3543
7. Lahaul-Spiti	3138	3494	6505	9999	71
8. Mandi	57560	57547	53217	110764	1392
9. Shimla	40921	70041	40357	110398	391
10. Sirmaur	23188	56101	21076	77177	62
11. Solan	41195	125310	36224	161534	208
12. Una	27133	43039	24561	67600	267
Himachal Pradesh	414864	560435	401211	961646	12619

Source: Directorate of Economics and Statistics, H.P.

VIII-EMPLOYMENT

8.1- DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF APPLICANTS ON THE LIVE REGISTER AND NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGES AS ON 2014-15

District	No. of applicants on the live register	Applicants registered as % of total population	No. of Employment Exchanges/ Sub-exchanges	Population served per Employment Exchange (In lakh)
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1. Bilaspur	52193	13.03	3	1.27
2. Chamba	60928	11.21	7	0.74
3. Hamirpur	81389	17.17	5	0.91
4. Kangra	168130	10.57	12	1.26
5. Kinnaur	8742	10.28	3	0.28
6. Kullu	67485	14.51	3	1.46
7. Lahaul - Spiti	4078	12.74	3	0.11
8. Mandi	199691	19.13	6	1.67
9. Shimla	76750	8.96	12	0.68
10.Sirmaur	61128	10.88	7	0.76
11.Solan	56652	9.20	4	1.45
12 Una	55822	10.08	2	2.61
Himachal Pradesh	892988	12.36	67	1.02

Source: Directorate of Labour & Employment, H.P.


IX- FOOD AND SUPPLIES


9.1 – DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF FAIR PRICE SHOPS, RATION CARDS, GAS AGENCIES AND L.P.G CONSUMER AS ON 31.3.2015

District	No. of fair price shops	No. of fair price shops per lakh of population	No. of Ration Cards	No. of Ration Cards per fair price shops	No of Gas Agencies	No of L.P.G. Consumer
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	222	56	105190	474	7	72553
2. Chamba	486	89	129029	265	8	43670
3. Hamirpur	291	61	130511	448	7	75209
4. Kangra	1032	65	426147	413	34	378822
5. Kinnaur	56	66	20331	363	6	32264
6. Kullu	434	93	110815	255	7	97882
7. Lahaul-Spiti	66	21	8607	130	3	14838
8. Mandi	769	74	296994	386	14	215899
9. Shimla	535	62	203380	380	25	251083
10.Sirmaur	321	57	124260	387	8	75766
11.Solan	302	49	135167	448	17	152880
12 Una	288	52	133930	465	8	115734
Himachal Pradesh	4802	66	1824361	380	144	1526600

Source: Food and Supplies Department, H.P.

No. of fair price shops-2014-15





X- CO-OPERATION

10.1 – DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES-2014-15

District	No. of Co-operative Societies	Population served per Co-operative Society
1.	2.	3.
1. Bilaspur	209	1909
2. Chamba	225	2418
3. Hamirpur	354	1339
4. Kangra	996	1596
5. Kinnaur	83	1024
6. Kullu	597	779
7. Lahaul-Spiti	128	250
8. Mandi	570	1832
9. Shimla	614	1396
10.Sirmaur	297	1892
11.Solan	381	1617
12.Una	358	1547
Himachal Pradesh	4812	1502

Source: Co-operative Department, H.P.

XI- MEDICAL

11.1- DISTRICT-WISE ALLOPATHIC MEDICAL FACILITIES-2014-15

District	No. of medical Institutions	Medical Institutions per lakh of population	No. of beds Allopathic	Beds available per lakh of population
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1. Bilaspur	158	40	443	111
2. Chamba	230	43	611	112
3. Hamirpur	186	40	502	106
4. Kangra	546	35	2154	135
5. Kinnaur	60	71	246	289
6. Kullu	127	28	549	118
7. Lahaul-Spiti	56	175	178	556
8. Mandi	402	39	1190	114
9. Shimla	365	43	2399	280
10.Sirmaur	195	35	604	107
11.Solan	228	38	772	125
12 Una	165	30	389	70
Himachal Pradesh	2718	38	10037	139

Source: Directorate of Health and Family Welfare, H.P.

XI- MEDICAL

11.2- DISTRICT-WISE AYURVEDA MEDICAL FACILITIES-2014-15

District	No. of Ayurveda Institutions	Ayurveda Institutions per lakh of population	No. of beds Ayurveda	Beds available per lakh of population
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1. Bilaspur	69	17	95	24
2. Chamba	105	19	56	10
3. Hamirpur	73	15	100	21
4. Kangra	234	15	273	18
5. Kinnaur	28	33	20	24
6. Kullu	66	14	37	8
7. Lahaul-Spiti	22	69	10	31
8. Mandi	166	16	64	6
9. Shimla	152	18	70	8
10. Sirmaur	79	14	66	12
11. Solan	78	13	30	5
12. Una	72	13	90	16
Himachal Pradesh	1144	16	911	13

Source: Directorate of Ayurveda , H.P.


XII- BANKING

12.1 - DISTRICT-WISE SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS AND CREDIT- DEPOSIT RATIO -31-12-2014

District	No. of Bank Branches	Bank Branches per lakh of population ()	Deposits (Rs. in crore)	Credits (Rs. in crore)	Credit-Deposit Ratio
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1. Bilaspur	73	1830	2435	560	23.00
2. Chamba	79	1452	2051	573	27.94
3. Hamirpur	94	1983	4109	677	16.48
4. Kangra	271	1704	11694	2392	20.45
5. Kinnaur	30	3529	602	220	36.54
6. Kullu	91	1957	3136	1227	39.13
7. Lahaul-Spiti	15	4688	273	52	19.05
8. Mandi	177	1695	6195	1660	26.80
9. Shimla	214	2497	14172	4204	29.66
10. Sirmaur	87	1548	2011	1562	77.67
11. Solan	178	2890	5582	4153	74.40
12. Una	104	1877	3774	1037	27.48
Himachal Pradesh	1413	1955	56034	18317	32.69

Source: Quarterly Statistics on Deposits and Credit of Scheduled Commercial Banks, December 2014.

Credit-Deposit Ratio-December, 2014



XIII- INDUSTRY

13.1-DISTRICT-WISE TOTAL NUMBER OF FACTORIES REGISTERD & WORKERS PROPOSED TO BE EMPLOYED

Districts		Factories			Workers*		
		31-12-2014	Percentage	Rank	31-12-2014	Percentage	Rank
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Bilaspur	100	2.06	9	4,622	1.47	6
2	Chamba	83	1.71	10	2,309	0.74	9
3	Hamirpur	198	4.09	5	931	0.30	11
4	Kangra	479	9.88	3	10,085	3.21	4
5	Kinnaur	10	0.21	11	1,962	0.63	10
6	Kullu	194	4.00	6	2853	0.91	8
7	Lahaul-Spiti	-	0.00	12	-	0.00	12
8	Mandi	181	3.73	7	4155	1.32	7
9	Shimla	177	3.65	8	6809	2.17	5
10	Sirmaur	655	13.51	2	50,013	15.95	2
11	Solan	2492	51.40	1	20,8666	66.53	1
12	Una	279	5.76	4	21,237	6.77	3
	Himachal Pradesh	4,848	100.00	-	3,13,642	100.00	-

Source:- Chief Inspector of Factories, Himachal Pradesh.

* Average

XIII- INDUSTRY

13.2- DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIAL UNITS REGISTERED ON PERMANENT BASIS DURING THE YEAR 2014-15

Sl. No.	District	Year		Rank
		2014-15	Percentage	
1	2	3	4	5
1	Bilaspur	22	5.79	8
2	Chamba	7	1.84	10
3	Hamirpur	30	7.90	7
4	Kangra	47	12.37	2
5	Kinnaur	32	8.42	5
6	Kullu	4	1.05	11
7	Lahaul-Spiti	2	0.53	12
8	Mandi	43	11.32	4
9	Shimla	45	11.84	3
10	Sirmaur	105	27.63	1
11	Solan	14	3.68	9

12	Una	29	7.63	6
	Himachal Pradesh	380	100.00	-

Source:- Directorate of Industries Department, Himachal Pradesh.

XIV –TOURISM

14.1 -- DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF REGISTERED HOTELS/GUEST HOUSES-AS ON 31.12.2014

District	No. of Hotels/ Guest Houses	Percentage	Rank	Bed capacity	Percentage	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1. Bilaspur	70	2.90	10	1363	2.09	10
2. Chamba	164	6.79	6	3727	5.70	5
3. Hamirpur	30	1.24	12	473	0.72	12
4. Kangra	373	15.44	3	8787	13.45	3
5. Kinnaur	90	3.73	7	1835	2.81	8
6. Kullu	651	26.94	1	21675	33.17	1
7. Lahaul-spiti	77	3.19	9	1426	2.18	9
8. Mandi	165	6.83	5	3268	5.00	6
9. Shimla	434	17.96	2	10920	16.71	2
10.Sirmaur	81	3.35	8	2068	3.17	7
11.Solan	222	9.19	4	8584	13.14	4
12.Una	59	2.44	11	1213	1.86	11

H.P.	2416	100.00	-	65339	100.00	-
------	------	--------	---	-------	--------	---

Source: Directorate of Tourism , Himachal Pradesh.


XIV –TOURISM

14.2 -- DISTRICT-WISE NUMBER OF TOURIST VISITED DURING-31.12.2014

District	Tourist Arrivals		
	No of Tourist visited	Percentage	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.
1. Bilaspur	1241423	7.61	5
2. Chamba	1091844	6.69	6
3. Hamirpur	753193	4.62	10
4. Kangra	2333367	14.30	3
5. Kinnaur	28581	0.17	12
6. Kullu	3291745	20.18	2
7. Lahaul-spiti	90393	0.55	11
8. Mandi	945721	5.80	7
9. Shimla	3349872	20.53	1
10.Sirmaur	902338	5.53	9
11.Solan	919498	5.64	8
12.Una	1366425	8.38	4

H.P.	16314400	100.00	-
-------------	----------	--------	---

Source: Directorate of Tourism H.P.



XV- MISCELLANEOUS

15.1 - - DISTRICT-WISE NO. OF HOUSEHOLDS BELOW POVERTY LINE BASED ON TENTH FIVE YEAR PLAN (2002-07)

District	No. of households below poverty line based on survey Tenth Five Year Plan (2002-07)	% age of households below poverty line to total	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.
1. Bilaspur	17337	6.14	7
2. Chamba	46393	16.43	2
3. Hamirpur	19514	6.91	5
4. Kangra	63250	22.40	1
5. Kinnaur	2824	1.00	11
6. Kullu	11267	3.99	10
7. Lahaul-Spiti	2400	0.85	12
8. Mandi	41339	14.64	3
9. Shimla	31682	11.22	4
10.Sirmaur	13695	4.85	9
11.Solan	17478	6.19	6
12 Una	15191	5.38	8
Himachal Pradesh	282370	100.00	-

Source: Rural Development Deptt., H.P


XV- MISCELLANEOUS

15.2-- DISTRICT-WISE INCIDENCE OF CRIMES-2014

District	No of Crimes	Percentage	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.
1. Bilaspur	1301	7.60	6
2. Chamba	995	5.81	9
3. Hamirpur	962	5.62	10
4. Kangra	3167	18.50	1
5. Kinnaur	231	1.35	11
6. Kullu	1240	7.24	7
7. Lahaul-Spiti	123	0.72	12
8. Mandi	2472	14.44	2
9. Shimla	2343	13.68	3
10.Sirmaur	1106	6.46	8
11.Solan	1837	10.73	4
12 Una	1345	7.85	5
Himachal Pradesh	17122	100.00	-

Source:-Police Department, H.P.

INCIDENCE OF CRIMES-2014



XVI-SMALL SAVINGS

16.1 -- DISTRICT-WISE GROSS DEPOSITS, WITHDRAWAL AND NET DEPOSIT- 2014-15

(in crore)

Year	Gross Deposits	Withdrawal	Net Deposit	Percentage	Rank
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1.Bilaspur	464.76	397.10	67.66	8.78	6
2.Chamba	198.05	158.71	39.34	5.11	7
3.Hamirpur	874.93	714.93	160.00	20.77	1
4.Kangra	1306.76	1161.69	145.07	18.83	2
5.Kinnaur	33.87	28.34	05.53	0.72	11
6.Kullu	152.72	131.70	21.02	2.73	10
7.Lahaul-Spiti	05.49	04.08	01.41	0.18	12
8.Mandi	671.12	561.68	109.44	14.20	3
9.Shimla	530.96	447.18	83.78	10.87	4
10.Sirmaur	155.14	119.37	35.77	4.64	8
11.Solan	261.41	231.41	30.00	3.89	9
12.Una	495.33	423.87	71.46	9.28	5
Himachal Pradesh	5150.54	4380.06	770.48	100.00	-

Source: Directorate of Small Savings, Himachal Pradesh.



DISTRICT LEVEL ECONOMIC INDICATORS IN HIMACHAL PRADESH 2014-15



**DEPARMENT OF ECONOMICS & STATISTICS
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-9**

PREFACE

We have been frequented with the demand of developing a single aggregated indicator of the level of economic development of each district of the State to have a clear picture of the inter-district differentials. For this purpose a set of relative weights to be assigned to each of the indicators which would be covered in the aggregate index is required. Any set of such weights is bound to be purely subjective. It is well known fact that all the districts are not equally developed or underdeveloped in respect of each indicator. They also widely differ in topography and in geographical conditions. Natural resource potentials are not evenly spread in all the districts. So a general development push would prove inappropriate. For proper regional planning, one will have to examine the level and relative position of each district. It would help in developing appropriate programmes and schemes to improve the position of the particular district in respect of the particular indicator. Such an approach would also facilitate the monitoring of the pace of progress in the desired direction, year after year. The users are requested to suggest improvements, if any, to enable us to improve the utility of this publication and enlarge its coverage and scope.

I am grateful to all the departments who have so kindly made the latest district-wise data available.

Pradeep Chauhan
Economic Adviser
Himachal Pradesh.

CONTENTS

<i>Table</i>	<i>Page</i>
I-POPULATION	
1.1 District-wise Area, Population and Density-2011 Census	1
1.2 District-wise Scheduled Castes Population and Percentage Proportion to H.P.-2011 Census	6
1.3 District-wise Scheduled Tribes Population and Percentage Proportion to H.P.-2011 Census	7
1.4 District-wise Distribution and Percentage of Workers-2011 Census	8-9
1.5 District-wise Literacy Rate-census 2001and 2011	10
1.6 District-wise Male work Participation Rate 2001and 2011 Census	11
1.7 District-wise Female work Participation Rate2001and 2011 Census	12
1.8 District-wise Total Population, Female Population and its Share in Total Population and Sex Ratio-2011 Census	13
1.9 District-wise No of occupied residential houses Census -2011	14
1.10 District-wise Rural and Urban Households and their Percentage 2011 Census.	15
1.11 District/ Sex wise 0-6 years Population and their Percentage 2011 Census	16
1.12 District/Sex wise Rural- Urban Population -2011 Census	17
II-AGRICULTURE	
2.1 District-wise Land utilization for 2010-11	18-20
2.2 District-wise Area under crops 2012-13(P)	21
2.3 District-wise Production of crops 2012-13 (P)	22
2.4 District-wise Production of Vegetables 2014-15	24
2.5 District- wise consumption of Fertilizers in terms of nutrients 2014-15	25
2.6 District- wise Number and area of operational holdings 2010-11 Census	26
III- HORTICULTURE	
3.1 District- wise Area under fruits- 2013-14	27
3.2 District- wise Production of fruits- 2014-15	29

C O N T E N T S

<i>Table</i>	<i>Page</i>
IV-LIVESTOCK	
4.1 District- wise Livestock population 2012 and Veterinary Aid-2014-15	31
4.2 District- wise Annual Milk production for 2013-14 and 2014-15	33
4.3 District- wise Annual Wool production for 2013-14 and 2014-15	34
4.4 District- wise Annual Egg production for 2013-14 and 2014-15	35
V- EDUCATION	
5.1 District- wise Number of Educational Institutions and Schools per lakh of population 2014-15	36
5.2 District- wise Literacy (Rural) -2011 Census	37
5.3 District- wise Literacy (Urban)-2011 Census	38
5.4 District- wise Literacy (Total)- 2011 Census	39
VI-TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS	
6.1 District- wise Road length and post offices in H.P.- 2014-15	40
VII-ECONOMIC CENSUS	
7.1 District- wise Total Number of Establishments and Total Persons Employed : Combined Sixth Economic Census-2012-13 (P)	42
VIII-EMPLOYMENT	
8.1 District- wise Number of Applicants on the live register and number of Employment Exchanges as on 2014-15	43

C O N T E N T S

<i>Table</i>	<i>Page</i>
IX-FOOD AND SUPPLIES	
9.1 District- wise Number of fair price shops, Ration Cards, Gas agencies and L.P.G. Consumer as on-31.3.2015	44
X-CO-OPERATION	
10.1 District- wise Number of Co-operative Societies-2014-15	47
XI-MEDICAL	
11.1 District-wise Allopathic Medical facilities-2014-15	48
11.2 District- wise Ayurveda Medical facilities-2014-15	49
XII-BANKING	
12.1 District- wise Scheduled Commercial Banks and Credit Deposit Ratio-31.12.2014	50
XIII- INDUSTRY	
13.1 District-wise total Number of Factories Registered and Workers Proposed to be Employed	52
13.2 District- wise Number of small scale Industrial units registered on Permanent basis During the Year 2014-15	53
XIV- TOURISM	
14.1 District- wise Number of Registered Hotels/Guest Houses as on-31.12.2014	54
14.2 District- wise Number of Tourist Visited during 31.12.2014	55
XV-MISCELLANEOUS	
15.1 District- wise No. of households below poverty line based on survey Tenth Five Year Plan (2002-07)	57
15.2 District- wise Incidence of Crimes-2014	58
XVI- SMALL SAVINGS	
16.1 District- wise Gross Deposits, Withdrawal and Net Deposit 2014-15	60